



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARY OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,445	08/01/2003	Charles C. H. Yang	D0332	2838
75	90 07/06/2005		EXAM	INER
George J. Nett	er		BLAU, STEPH	EN LUTHER
Suite 216 260 S. Los Rob	les		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Pasadena, CA 91101-2897		3711	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PECEIVED OIPE/IAP

4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,445	YANG, CHARLES C. H.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen L. Blau	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	-
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement	
	· election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	difficient to diagnos diffo	7,000,000,000,000
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.
dec and auderica detailed Office action for a list	o. and domined dopied not receive	
Attachment(s)	,, □ ,, , _ a	(DTO 442)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. On page 5 line 1 the continuous wall is referred to as reference number 66
 while in figure 4 and in line 12 of page 5 the opening is referred to as reference number 66.
- b. On page 5 line 1 the central cavity is referred to as reference number 68 while in figure 5 and in line 16 of page 5 the weights are referred to as reference number 68.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aizawa.

Aizawa discloses a metal striking plate of titanium (Col. 6, Ln. 12), a central housing in the form of a front shell (87a, Fig. 6) which a hosel and shaft is connected

Art Unit: 3711

(Figs. 6, 1) and including a surface to fittingly engage with a striking plate rear surface (Fig. 6), a back housing in the form of a rear shell (87b, Fig. 6) having an edge wall secured to a portion of a central housing part (Fig. 6, Col. 6, Lns. 37-40), and means for securing a striking plate to a central housing part in the form of a plurality of metal pegs (95, 97) unitarily interconnecting a striking plate and central housing part together (Fig. 6, Col. 6, Lns. 53-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa in view of Werner.

Aizawa discloses forming the head body of carbon fiber reinforced with resin (Col. 7, Lns. 60-67). Aizawa lacks a central housing part and back housing part being a graphite carbon fiber and a central housing part and back housing part being secured together by cement. Werner discloses a forward shell and rear shell being made of a graphite fiber (Col. 2, Lns. 8-15) and a forward shell and rear shell being secured together by cement (Col. 6, Lns. 38-40, Fig. 8A). In view of the patent of Werner it would have been obvious to modify the head of Aizawa to have a central housing part

Art Unit: 3711

and back housing part being a graphite carbon fiber in order to use a carbon fiber used in the market place to form golf heads. In view of the patent of Werner it would have been obvious to modify the head of Aizawa to have a central housing part and back housing part being secured together by cement in order to utilize an adhesive used in the market place to secure composite shells together in forming a golf head.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa in view of Werner as applied to claims 3 and 5 above, and further in view of Helsmstetter.

Aizawa lacks striking plate weighing approximately 4.5 ounces (127 grams) and the combined weight of a central housing part and back housing part weighing about 4.5 ounces (127 grams). Helmstetter discloses a mass of a head being in a range of 165 to 300 grams (Col. 13, Lns. 58-60). In view of the patent of Helmstetter it would have been obvious to modify the head of Aizawa to have a striking plate weighing approximately 4.5 ounces (127 grams) and the combined weight of a central housing part and back housing part weighing about 4.5 ounces (127 grams) in order to have a total head weight (254 grams) used in the market place.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aizawa in view of Bliss and Hasebe.

Aizawa lacks at least one weight in the range of 5-30 grams secured to the inner bottom wall of the back housing part along the centerline plane between the heel and toe of the head, a center of gravity lies on the centerline plane between the heel toe.

Art Unit: 3711

and within the back part cavity and below the centerline plane between top and bottom surface of the central housing part.

Bliss discloses a weight secured to an inner bottom wall (Abstract, Fig. 5), a center of gravity lies on the centerline plane between the heel and toe (Fig. 5), and within the back part of a head (Fig. 5) and below the centerline plane between top and bottom of a head (Fig. 5) in order to take advantage of vertical gear effect to tailor the amount of backspin imparted to a ball for a given loft angle thereby enabling a club to deliver the ideal trajectory without over spinning or under spinning the ball [0005]. Bliss does not disclose the specific mass of the weight but clearly an artisan skilled in adding weight to a sole to move center of gravity would have added a suitable mass to the sole in which a mass of 5-30 grams is included. In view of the Bliss it would have been obvious to modify the head of Aizawa to have a weight secured to an inner bottom wall, a center of gravity lies on the centerline plane between the heel and toe, and within the back part cavity and below the centerline plane between top and bottom of a head in order to take advantage of vertical gear effect to tailor the amount of backspin imparted to a ball for a given loft angle thereby enabling a club to deliver the ideal trajectory without over spinning or under spinning the ball. In view of the Bliss it would have been obvious to modify the head of Aizawa to have at least one weight in the range of 5-30 grams in order to add a sufficient amount of weight to move the center of gravity of a head.

Hasebe discloses at least one weight (B) secured to the inner bottom wall of the back housing part along the centerline plane between the heel and toe of the head (Fig.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/632,445

Art Unit: 3711

4). In view of the patent of Hasebe it would have been obvious to modify the head of Aizawa to have at least one weight secured to the inner bottom wall of the back housing part along the centerline plane between the heel and toe of the head in order to maximize the amount of energy transferred to a ball at impact by maximizing the amount of weight directly behind the ball.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 7 September 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/632,445 Examiner Stephen L. Blau Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination YANG, CHARLES C. H. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,697,854	12-1997	Aizawa et al.	473/344
	В	US-6,152,833	11-2000	Werner et al.	473/324
	С	US-6,565,452	05-2003	Helmstetter et al.	473/342
	D	US-6,572,491	06-2003	Hasebe et al.	473/349
	E	US-2003/0232663	12-2003	Bliss et al.	473/349
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country .	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					_
	s					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	TOTAL					
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)				
	U					
	٧					
	w					
	х					

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.


NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERIE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAY

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER







JUL 15 7005